

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2927/2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

**C.A. No. 45/2016 IN O.A. No. 215/2014.**  
**(Sub :- Compassionate Appointment)**

- 1 Smt. Surekha N. Molak,
- 2 Mr. Rahul N. Molak,  
R/at. House No. 3580, Santhpeth, Sangola Road, Opp. Savtamali Math,  
Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- 1 Mr. Shivaji Upase, The Water Resources Dept., 15<sup>th</sup> Floor, New Admin. Bldg. Hutatma Chowk, Madam Cama Rd., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2 Mr. Atul Kapole, The Superintending Engineer, Pune Irrigation Circle, (Sinchan Bhavan), Pune-11.
- 3 Mr. U.V. Sidhhaman, The Executive Engineer, Nira Right Canal Dept., Phaltan, Dist. Satara.
- 4 Mr Ashwin Mudgal, The Collector, Satara, Dist. Satara.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 08<sup>th</sup> day of **August, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Smt. Archana B.K., P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **08.08.2016.**

ORDER : Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants states that :-

- (a) Applicants have failed to give notice to the respondents by informing the respondents the exact text of the order and seeking compliance and informing that failure would result in contempt.
- (b) If necessary personal notice would be served.
- (c) During pendency of the CA the respondents have rejected the applicant's claim.
- (d) The applicants would take proper steps in view of the refusal now received.

4. In view of the foregoing the CA is disposed off with liberty to challenge the rejection if applicant is so advised and if he desires.

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman.

*Mudgal*  
10/8/2016  
Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.